

5.2.3

Value of Portfolio Process Under the Risk-Neutral Measure

2025/05/20 鄭玉琪

1. Consider begins with initial capital $X(0)$
2. At each time t , $0 \leq t \leq T$, holds $\Delta(t)$ shares of stock, and investing or borrowing at the interest rate $R(t)$ as necessary to finance this.

Where $\alpha(t), \sigma(t), R(t)$ are random, the differential of the portfolio value becomes :

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX(t) &= \Delta(t)dS(t) + R(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt \\
 &= \Delta(t)(\alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)) + R(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt \text{ (移項)} \\
 &= R(t)X(t)dt + \Delta(t)(\alpha(t) - R(t))S(t)dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)dW(t) \\
 &= R(t)X(t)dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)] \qquad (5.2.25)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$dS(t) = \alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)$$

$$\Theta(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) - R(t)}{\sigma(t)}$$

Now derive the differential of the discounted portfolio value :

Itô's product rule : $d(D(t)X(t)) = dD(t)X(t) + D(t)dX(t) + dD(t)dX(t)$

imply (5.2.18) $dD(t) = -R(t)D(t)dt$

$$\begin{aligned}d(D(t)X(t)) &= -R(t)D(t)X(t)dt + D(t)[R(t)X(t)dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)]] \\ &= D(t)\Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)]\end{aligned}$$

imply (5.2.20) $d(D(t)S(t)) = \sigma(t)D(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)]$

$$d(D(t)X(t)) = \Delta(t) d(D(t)S(t)) \quad (5.2.26)$$

Under the new measure \tilde{P} defined by $\Theta(t)dt$, $d(D(t)S(t)) = \sigma(t)D(t)S(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$

$$d(D(t)X(t)) = \Delta(t) \sigma(t)D(t)S(t) d\tilde{W}(t) \quad (5.2.27)$$

$d(D(t)X(t))$ is a martingale under zero drift

5.2.4

Pricing Under the Risk-Neutral Measure

Initial capital $X(0)$ and portfolio process $\Delta(t)$ an agent would need in order to hedge a short position in the call (i.e., In order to have $X(T) = (S(T) - K)^+$ almost surely

Let $V(T)$ be an $\mathcal{F}(T)$ -measurable random variable. This represents the payoff at time T of a derivative security

$X(T) = V(T)$ almost surely. (5.2.28) Then $D(T)X(T) = D(T)V(T)$ also holds

Since $D(t)X(t)$ is a martingale under the probability measure \tilde{P} , it follows that

$$D(t)X(t) = \tilde{E}[D(T)X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t)] = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t)] \quad (5.2.29)$$

$$\text{That } D(t)V(t) = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t)], 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5.2.30)$$

Since $D(t)$, which is $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable, is a known and deterministic value at time t

$$\text{Dividing both sides by } D(t), \text{ we obtain : } V(t) = \tilde{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T R(u)du} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right], 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5.2.31)$$

5.2.5

Deriving the Black-Scholes-Merton Formula

To obtain the Black-Scholes-Merton price of a European call, we assume a constant volatility σ , constant interest rate r , and take the derivative security payoff to be $V(T) = (S(T) - K)^+$.

The right-hand side of (5.2.31) becomes $\tilde{E}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right]$

Because geometric Brownian motion is a Markov process, this expression depends on the stock price $S(t)$ and of course on the time t at which the conditional expectation is computed, but not on the stock price prior to time t

Therefore, define a function $c(t, S(t)) = \tilde{E}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right]$
 $= \tilde{E}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)^+ \mid S(t) \right]$

With constant σ and r , equation (5.2.24) becomes $S(t) = S(0)e^{\{\sigma\tilde{W}(t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t\}}$

$$\rightarrow S(T) = S(t)e^{\{\sigma(\tilde{W}(T) - \tilde{W}(t)) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau\}} \quad \text{Now, let } Y = -\frac{\tilde{W}(T) - \tilde{W}(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

$$\rightarrow S(T) = S(t)e^{\{-\sigma\sqrt{\tau}Y + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau\}}$$

Using the Independence Lemma, the random variable $S(T)$ can be decomposed into the product of an $F(t)$ -measurable random variable $S(t)$ and another random variable $e^{\{-\sigma\sqrt{\tau}Y + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau\}}$, where

$e^{\{-\sigma\sqrt{\tau}Y + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau\}}$ is independent of $F(t)$

Therefore, (5.2.32) holds with

$$c(t, x) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[e^{-r\tau} \left(x \exp \left\{ -\sigma\sqrt{\tau}Y + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) \tau \right\} - K \right)^+ \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-r\tau} \left(x \exp \left\{ -\sigma\sqrt{\tau}y + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) \tau \right\} - K \right)^+ e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy.$$

$$(xe^{\{-\sigma\sqrt{\tau}y\} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau} - K)^+, \text{ is positive if and only if } y < d_-(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \left[\log \frac{x}{K} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau \right] \quad (5.2.23)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(\tau, x)} e^{-r\tau} \left(x \exp \left\{ -\sigma\sqrt{\tau}y + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) \tau \right\} - K \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(\tau, x)} x \exp \left\{ -\frac{y^2}{2} - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}y - \frac{\sigma^2\tau}{2} \right\} dy \quad \leftarrow -r\tau - \sigma\sqrt{\tau}y + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau - \frac{1}{2}y^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(\tau, x)} e^{-r\tau} K e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy \quad \leftarrow y \sim N(0, 1) \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(\tau, x)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(y + \sigma\sqrt{\tau})^2 \right\} dy - e^{-r\tau} K N(d_-(\tau, x)) \quad \leftarrow (a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(\tau, x) + \sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{z^2}{2} \right\} dz - e^{-r\tau} K N(d_-(\tau, x)) \quad \leftarrow z = (y + \sigma\sqrt{\tau}) \\ &\quad \leftarrow \text{上界: } d_-(\tau, x) + \sigma\sqrt{\tau} \\ &= xN(d_+(\tau, x)) - e^{-r\tau} K N(d_-(\tau, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_+(\tau, x) = d_-(\tau, x) + \sigma\sqrt{\tau} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}} \left[\log \frac{x}{K} + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau \right]. \quad (5.2.34)$$

For future reference, we introduce the notation

$$\text{BSM}(\tau, x; K, r, \sigma) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[e^{-r\tau} \left(x \exp \left\{ -\sigma \sqrt{\tau} Y + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \tau \right\} - K \right)^+ \right]$$

where Y is a standard normal random variable under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. We have just shown that

$$\text{BSM}(\tau, x; K, r, \sigma) = xN(d_+(\tau, x)) - e^{-r\tau} KN(d_-(\tau, x)). \quad (5.2.36)$$

5.3.1

Martingale Representation with One Brownian Motion

The risk-neutral pricing formula (5.2.31) assumes that with the **correct initial capital**, there exists a portfolio process $\Delta(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$, such that the portfolio value equals $V(T)$ almost surely at time T .

Under this assumption, the correct initial capital is given by setting $t=0$ in equation (5.2.31).

$V(t) = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T)]$ and the value of the hedging portfolio at every time $t, 0 \leq t \leq T$, to be $V(t)$ given by (5.2.31).

We verify that in the model with a single stock driven by one Brownian motion, the assumption underlying the risk-neutral pricing formula (5.2.31)—namely, the existence of a hedging portfolio—is justified by the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3.1 (Martingale representation, one dimension).

Let $W(t)$ be a Brownian motion defined on a probability space (Ω, F, P)

Let $F(t)$ be the filtration generated by $W(t)$, and suppose that $M(t)$ is a martingale with respect to this filtration $F(t)$

Theorem 5.3.1 states that if the filtration $F(t)$ is generated by a Brownian motion $W(t)$, then any martingale $M(t)$ adapted to this filtration $F(t)$ can be expressed as:

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t \Gamma(u) dW(u), 0 \leq t \leq T \leftarrow \text{cannot have jumps because It\hat{o} integrals are continuous}$$

can be expressed as an initial value plus an It\hat{o} integral with respect to Brownian motion

Theorem 5.3.1 imposes stricter conditions than Theorem 5.2.3 (Girsanov's Theorem, one-dimensional case)

Corollary 5.3.2

We now want to show that, after a change of probability measure \tilde{P} , the new martingales can still be represented in the form of an Itô integral

Therefore, we apply Theorem 5.2.3 (Girsanov's Theorem, one-dimensional case) to perform the change of measure and carry out the verification

Let $W(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be a Brownian motion on a probability space (Ω, F, P) , and let $F(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be a filtration for this Brownian motion. Let $\Theta(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be an adapted process.

Define $Z(t) = e^{\left\{-\int_0^t \Theta(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta^2(u) du\right\}}$, $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du$ ← $Z(t)$ as a weight

and assume that $\tilde{E} \int_0^T \Theta^2(u) Z^2(u) du < \infty$, Set $Z = Z(T)$, then $EZ = 1$ ← $\tilde{W}(t)$ under the measure \tilde{P}

Now, let $M(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be a martingale under \tilde{P} . Then there is an adapted process $\tilde{\Gamma}(u)$, $0 \leq u$

$\leq T$, such that $\tilde{M}(t) = \tilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u) d\tilde{W}(u)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. (5.3.2)

5.3.2

Hedging with One Stock

We begin with the model of Subsection 5.2.2, which has the stock price process

(5.2.15) $dS(t) = \alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)$ and an interest rate process $R(t)$ that

generates the discount process (5.2.17) $D(t) = e^{\int_0^t R(s)ds}$

Recall the assumption that, for $[0, T]$, the volatility $\sigma(t)$ is almost surely not zero.

We make the additional assumption that the filtration $F(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is generated by the Brownian motion $W(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$

Let $V(T)$ be an $F(T)$ -measurable random variable and, for $0 \leq t \leq T$, define $V(t)$ by the risk-neutral pricing formula (5.2.31). Then, according to (5.2.30), $D(t)V(t) = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) | F(t)]$

This is a \tilde{P} -martingale; indeed, iterated conditioning implies that, for $0 < s < t$

$$\tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) | F(t)] = \tilde{E}[\tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) | F(t)] | F(s)] = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) | F(s)] = D(s)V(s) \quad (5.3.3)$$

According Theorem 5.3.1 & Corollary 5.3.2 know that $D(t)V(t)$ has a representation as

$$D(t)V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u) d\tilde{W}(u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5.3.4) \quad , \text{ (recall that } D(0)V(0) = V(0)\text{)}$$

On the other hand, for any portfolio process $\Delta(t)$, the differential of the discounted portfolio

$$\text{value is given by (5.2.27), and hence } D(t)X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \Delta(u)\sigma(u)D(u)S(u) d\tilde{W}(u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (5.3.5)$$

$$\text{In order to have } X(t) = V(t) \text{ for all } t, \text{ we should choose } X(0) = V(0) \quad (5.3.6)$$

$$\text{and choose } \Delta(t) \text{ to satisfy } \Delta(t)\sigma(t)D(t)S(t) = \tilde{\Gamma}(u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5.3.7)$$

$$\text{which is equivalent to } \Delta(t) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(u)}{\sigma(t)D(t)S(t)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5.3.8)$$

A hedge for a short position in the derivative security with payoff $V(T)$ at time T .

Two key assumptions that make the hedge possible.

The first is that the volatility $\sigma(t)$ is not zero, so equation (5.3.7) can be solved

The other key assumption is that $F(t)$ is generated by the underlying Brownian

Under these two assumptions, every $F(T)$ -measurable derivative security can be hedged

Such a model is said to be complete.