

# **Stochastic Calculus For Finance - volume 2**

**Section 5.6.2 Futures Contracts**

**Section 5.6.3 Forward- Futures Spread**

**Section 6.2 Stochastic Differential Equations**

08/05/2025 卓伯呈

## Section 5.6.2 Futures Contracts

Consider a time interval  $[0, T]$ , which we divide into subintervals using the partition points  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = T$ . We shall refer to each subinterval  $[t_k, t_{k+1})$  as a “day.”

Suppose the interest rate is constant within each day. Then the discount process is given by  $D(0) = 1$  and, for  $k=0,1,\dots,n-1$ ,

$$D(t_{k+1}) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{t_{k+1}} R(u) du \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=0}^k R(t_j)(t_{j+1} - t_j) \right\},$$

which is  $F(t_k)$ -measurable.

According to the risk-neutral pricing formula (5.6.1), the zero-coupon bond paying 1 at maturity  $T$  has time- $t_k$  price

$$B(t_k, T) = \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E}[D(T) \mid F(t_k)].$$

An asset whose price at time  $t$  is  $S(t)$  has time-  $t_k$  forward price

$$\text{For}_s(t_k, T) = \frac{S(t_k)}{B(t_k, T)}$$

Suppose we take a long position in the forward contract at time  $t_k$  (i.e., agree to receive  $S(T)$  and pay  $\text{For}_s(t_k, T)$  at time  $T$ ). The value of this position at time  $t_j \geq t_k$  is

$$\begin{aligned} V(t_j, T)D(t_j) &= \tilde{E}[V(T, T)D(T) | F(t_j)] \\ V(t_j, T) &= \frac{1}{D(t_j)} \tilde{E}\left[D(T)\left(S(T) - \frac{S(t_k)}{B(t_k, T)}\right) | F(t_j)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{D(t_j)} \tilde{E}[D(T)S(T) | F(t_j)] - \frac{S(t_k)}{B(t_k, T)} \cdot \frac{1}{D(t_j)} \tilde{E}[D(T) | F(t_j)] \\ &= S(t_j) - S(t_k) \cdot \frac{B(t_j, T)}{B(t_k, T)} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we take a long position in the forward contract at time  $t_k$  (i.e., agree to receive  $S(T)$  and pay  $For_s(t_k, T)$  at time  $T$ ). The value of this position at time  $t_j \geq t_k$  is

$$V(t_j, T) = S(t_j) - S(t_k) \cdot \frac{B(t_j, T)}{B(t_k, T)}, \quad B(t, T) = e^{-r(T-t)}$$

$$V(t_j, T) = S(t_j) - S(t_k) \cdot \frac{e^{-r(T-t_j)}}{e^{-r(T-t_k)}} = S(t_j) - S(t_k) \cdot e^{r(t_j-t_k)}$$

If the growth rate of  $S$  is greater than  $r$

→ positive value for buyer, negative value for seller

→ one of the parties to the forward contract could become concerned about default by the other party (default risk)

→ futures contracts can reduce default risk by margin system

If an agent holds a long futures position between times  $t_k$  and  $t_{k+1}$ , then at time  $t_{k+1}$  he receives a payment  $Fut_s(t_{k+1}, T) - Fut_s(t_k, T)$ .

The sum of payments received by an agent who purchases a futures contract at time zero and holds it until delivery date T is

$$\begin{aligned} & (Fut_s(t_1, T) - Fut_s(t_0, T)) + (Fut_s(t_2, T) - Fut_s(t_1, T)) + \dots + \\ & (Fut_s(t_n, T) - Fut_s(t_{n-1}, T)) \\ & = Fut_s(T, T) - Fut_s(t_0, T), Fut_s(T, T) = S(T) \\ & = S(T) - Fut_s(t_0, T). \end{aligned}$$

zero value on entry:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E} \left[ D(t_{k+1}) (Fut_s(t_{k+1}, T) - Fut_s(t_k, T)) \mid F(t_k) \right] \\ & = \frac{D(t_{k+1})}{D(t_k)} [\tilde{E}[Fut_s(t_{k+1}, T) \mid F(t_k)] - Fut_s(t_k, T)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow Fut_s(t_k, T) = \tilde{E}[Fut_s(t_{k+1}, T) \mid F(t_k)] \rightarrow$  martingale

$$(Fut_s(t_k, T) = \tilde{E}[S(T) \mid F(t_k)])$$

Proof: The value at time  $t_k$  of future cash flow equals to 0,  $j \geq k + 1$   
 (if  $Fut_s$  is martingale)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E} \left[ D(t_{j+1}) \left( Fut_s(t_{j+1}, T) - Fut_s(t_j, T) \right) \mid F(t_k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E} \left[ \tilde{E} \left[ D(t_{j+1}) \left( Fut_s(t_{j+1}, T) - Fut_s(t_j, T) \right) \mid F(t_j) \right] \mid F(t_k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E} \left[ D(t_{j+1}) \left[ \tilde{E} \left[ Fut_s(t_{j+1}, T) \mid F(t_j) \right] - Fut_s(t_j, T) \right] \mid F(t_k) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

→ the present value of cash flow in any future time period will be 0

### Theorem 5.6.5

The futures price is a martingale under the risk-neutral measure  $\tilde{P}$ ; it satisfies  $Fut_s(T, T) = S(T)$  and the value of a long (or a short) futures position to be held over an interval of time is always zero.

If the filtration,  $\mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , is generated by a Brownian motion  $W(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , then Corollary 5.3.2 of the Martingale Representation Theorem implies that

$$Fut_s(t, T) = Fut_s(0, T) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u) d\tilde{W}(u), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

for some adapted integrand process  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  (i.e.,  $dFut_s(t, T) = \tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$ ).

Let  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 \leq T$ , holds  $\Delta(t)$  futures contracts at times  $t$  between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$ . Investing and/or borrowing at the interest rate  $R(t)$  prevailing at the time of the investing or borrowing.

Profit  $X(t)$  from these trading satisfies

$$dX(t) = \Delta(t)dFut_s(t, T) + R(t)X(t)dt = \Delta(t)\tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t) + R(t)X(t)dt$$

and thus  $d(D(t)X(t)) = D(t)\Delta(t)\tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)X(t)) &= dD(t)X(t) + D(t)dX(t) + dD(t)dX(t) \\ &= -R(t)D(t)dt \times X(t) + D(t)dX(t) + dD(t)dX(t) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that at time  $t_0$  the profit is  $X(t_0) = 0$ . At time  $t_1$  the agent's profit  $X(t_1)$  will satisfy  $D(t_1)X(t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} D(u)\Delta(u)\tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u)$ .(5.6.7)

Because Itô integrals are martingales, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{E}[D(t_1)X(t_1) | F(t_0)] \\ &= \tilde{E}\left[\int_0^{t_1} D(u)\Delta(u)\tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u) - \int_0^{t_0} D(u)\Delta(u)\tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u) \mid F(t_0)\right] \\ &= \tilde{E}\left[\int_0^{t_1} D(u)\Delta(u)\tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u) \mid F(t_0)\right] - \int_0^{t_0} D(u)\Delta(u)\tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6.8)$$

According to the risk-neutral pricing formula, the value at time  $t_0$  of a payment of  $X(t_1)$  at time  $t_1$  is  $\frac{1}{D(t_0)}\tilde{E}[D(t_1)X(t_1) | F(t_0)]$ , and we have just shown that this is zero.

The value of owning a long position over the interval  $t_0$  to  $t_1$  is obtained by setting  $\Delta(u)=1$  for all  $u$

The value of holding a short position is obtained by setting  $\Delta(u)=-1$  for all  $u$ . In both cases, this value is zero.

## Section 5.6.3 Forward-Futures Spread

$$\text{For}_s(t, T) = \frac{S(t)}{B(t, T)}, \mathbf{r \text{ constant}} \rightarrow B(t, T) = e^{-r(T-t)}, \text{For}_s(t, T) = e^{r(T-t)} S(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fut}_s(t, T) &= \tilde{E}[S(T) | F(t)] = \frac{D(t)D(T)}{D(t)D(T)} \tilde{E}[S(T) | F(t)] \\ &= \frac{D(t)}{D(T)} \times \frac{1}{D(t)} \tilde{E}[S(T)D(T) | F(t)] = \frac{e^{-rt}}{e^{-rT}} S(t) = e^{r(T-t)} S(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{For}_s(t, T) = \text{Fut}_s(t, T)$$

$$\mathbf{r \text{ is not constant}} \rightarrow B(t, T) = \frac{1}{D(t_k)} \tilde{E}[D(T) | F(t_k)], B(0, T) = \frac{1}{1} \tilde{E}[D(T)]$$

$$\text{For}_s(t, T) = \frac{S(t)}{B(t, T)}, \text{For}_s(0, T) = \frac{S(0)}{B(0, T)} = \frac{S(0)}{\tilde{E}[D(T)]}$$

$$\text{Fut}_s(t, T) = \tilde{E}[S(T) | F(t)], \text{Fut}_s(0, T) = \tilde{E}[S(T)] \quad \underline{S(0) \times 1 = S(0)D(0) = \tilde{E}[S(T)D(T)]}$$

$$\text{For}_s - \text{Fut}_s = \frac{S(0)}{\tilde{E}[D(T)]} - \tilde{E}[S(T)] = \frac{1}{\tilde{E}[D(T)]} [S(0) - \tilde{E}[S(T)]\tilde{E}[D(T)]]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tilde{E}[D(T)]} [\underline{\tilde{E}[S(T)D(T)]} - \tilde{E}[S(T)]\tilde{E}[D(T)]] = \frac{c\tilde{\sigma}v(S(T), D(T))}{B(0, T)}$$

## Section 6.2 Stochastic Differential Equations

A stochastic differential equation is an equation of the form:

$$dX(u) = \beta(u, X(u)) du + \gamma(u, X(u)) dW(u) \quad (6.2.1)$$

Here  $\beta(u, x)$  and  $\gamma(u, x)$  are given functions, called the drift and diffusion, respectively. In addition to this equation, an initial condition of the form:

$$X(t) = x, \quad \text{where } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ is specified.}$$

The problem is then to find a stochastic process  $X(T)$ , defined for  $T \geq t$ , such that:

$$X(T) = X(t) + \int_t^T \beta(u, X(u)) du + \int_t^T \gamma(u, X(u)) dW(u) \quad (6.2.3)$$

The solution  $X(T)$  at time  $T$  will be  $F(T)$ -measurable

Example 6.2.1 (Geometric Brownian motion).

The stochastic differential equation for geometric Brownian motion is

$$dS(u) = \alpha S(u) du + \sigma S(u) dW(u)$$

In the notation of (6.2.1),  $\beta(u, x) = \alpha x$  and  $\gamma(u, x) = \sigma x$ .

We know the formula for the solution to this stochastic differential equation when the initial time is zero and the initial position is  $S(0)$ , namely

$$S(t) = S(0)e^{\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma W(t)}, \text{ for } T \geq t, S(T) = S(0)e^{\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T + \sigma W(T)}$$

Dividing  $S(T)$  by  $S(t)$ ,  $\frac{S(T)}{S(t)} = \exp\{\sigma(W(T) - W(t)) + (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)\}$

If the initial condition is given at time  $t$  and  $S(t)=x$ , then last equation becomes

$$S(T) = x \times \exp\{\sigma(W(T) - W(t)) + (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)\}.$$

→ when we use the initial condition  $S(t)=x$

$S(T)$  depends only on the path of the Brownian motion between times  $t$  and  $T$ .

Example 6.2.2 (Hull–White interest rate model).

Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dR(u) = (a(u) - b(u)R(u))du + \sigma(u) d\tilde{W}(u)$$

where  $a(u), b(u)$  and  $\sigma(u)$  are nonrandom positive functions of the time variable  $u$  and  $\tilde{W}(u)$  is a Brownian motion under a risk-neutral measure  $\tilde{P}$ .

In this case, we use the dummy variable  $r$  rather than  $x$ , and

$$\beta(u, r) = a(u) - b(u)r, \gamma(u, r) = \sigma(u).$$

Let us take the initial condition  $R(t)=r$ .

We can solve the stochastic differential equation by first using the stochastic differential equation to compute

$$\begin{aligned} d \left( e^{\int_0^u b(v)dv} R(u) \right) &= e^{\int_0^u b(v)dv} (b(u)R(u)du + dR(u)) \\ &= e^{\int_0^u b(v)dv} (a(u)du + \sigma(u) d\tilde{W}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides from  $t$  to  $T$  and using the initial condition  $R(t)=r$ , we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\int_0^T b(v)dv} R(T) \\ &= r e^{\int_0^t b(v)dv} + \int_t^T e^{\int_0^u b(v)dv} a(u)du + \int_t^T e^{\int_0^u b(v)dv} \sigma(u) d\tilde{W}(u) \end{aligned}$$

, which we can solve for  $R(T)$ :

$$R(T) = r e^{-\int_t^T b(v)dv} + \int_t^T e^{-\int_u^T b(v)dv} a(u)du + \int_t^T e^{-\int_u^T b(v)dv} \sigma(u) d\tilde{W}(u).$$

This is an explicit formula for the solution  $R(T)$ . The right-hand side of the final equation does not involve the interest rate process  $R(u)$  apart from the initial condition  $R(t)=r$ ; it contains only this initial condition, an integral with respect to time, and an Itô integral of given functions. Note also that the Brownian motion between times  $t$  and  $T$  only enters this formula.

Recall from Theorem 4.4.9 that the Itô integral

$\int_t^T e^{-\int_u^T b(v)dv} \sigma(u) d\tilde{W}(u)$  of the nonrandom integrand  $e^{-\int_u^T b(v)dv} \sigma(u)$  is normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $\int_t^T e^{-2\int_u^T b(v)dv} \sigma^2(u) du$ .

The other terms appearing in the formula above for  $R(T)$  are nonrandom.

Therefore, under the risk-neutral measure  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $R(T)$  is normally distributed with

mean:  $re^{-\int_t^T b(v)dv} + \int_t^T e^{-\int_u^T b(v)dv} a(u)du$

variance:  $\int_t^T e^{-2\int_u^T b(v)dv} \sigma^2(u) du$

In particular, there is a positive probability that  $R(T)$  is negative. This is one of the principal objections to the Hull–White model.

**Theorem 4.4.9 (Itô integral of a deterministic integrand).** *Let  $W(s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , be a Brownian motion, and let  $\Delta(s)$  be a nonrandom function of time. Define  $I(t) = \int_0^t \Delta(s) dW(s)$ . For each  $t \geq 0$ , the random variable  $I(t)$  is normally distributed with expected value zero and variance  $\int_0^t \Delta^2(s) ds$ .*