

Revised on 2016-12-23

Material from the Instructor (based on Logan (3rd edition) and Boyce-DiPrima's book (10th edition))

Chapter 4: Linear Systems of Equations

0.1 Linear system in \mathbb{R}^n with constant coefficients

Definition 0.1 Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix. The system of equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

is called a **first order $n \times n$ linear system of ODE with constant coefficients** (since A is a constant matrix).

Remark 0.2 If there is no confusion, we will just write $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$ as $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$.

Remark 0.3 For a given initial condition $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have existence and uniqueness theorem for (1). Also, any solution is defined on $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Example 0.4 Consider the 2×2 linear system of equations with constant coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = 3x_1 - 4x_2 \\ x_2'(t) = -x_1 + 5x_2. \end{cases}$$

One can write it as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t)), \quad \text{where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 0.5 Consider the second order linear equation

$$x''(t) + x(t) = 0, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (2)$$

We know that its general solution is given by

$$x(t) = c_1 \cos t + c_2 \sin t, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad c_1, c_2 \text{ are constants.}$$

If we let $y(t) = x'(t)$ (view y as a new variable), (2) gives

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = y, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -x,$$

i.e. the vector-valued function $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ satisfies the system of equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}, \quad \text{i.e. } \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

One can check that (2) is equivalent to (3). The same observation applies to higher order linear equations with constant coefficients. The upshot is that a n -th order linear equation with constant coefficients is equivalent to a first order $n \times n$ linear system of ODE with constant coefficients.

Lemma 0.6 If $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $\mathbf{y}(t)$ are both solutions to (1) on some interval I , then their linear combination

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = c_1\mathbf{x}(t) + c_2\mathbf{y}(t), \quad t \in I$$

is also a solution of (1) on I . Here c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants.

Remark 0.7 This says that the solution space of (1) has the structure of a **vector space**.

Proof. This is obvious. □

We first need some results from linear algebra:

Lemma 0.8 If an $n \times n$ matrix A has n **distinct real** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n , then v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We first claim that v_1 and v_2 are independent. Otherwise, we would have $v_1 = cv_2$ for some constant $c \neq 0$. Hence we get (applying A onto it) $\lambda_1 v_1 = c\lambda_2 v_2$. But we also have $\lambda_1 v_1 = c\lambda_1 v_2$ and so $c\lambda_2 v_2 = c\lambda_1 v_2$. This will force $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, impossible. Hence v_1 and v_2 are independent. Similarly if we have $v_3 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2$ with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_3 v_3 = \alpha \lambda_1 v_1 + \beta \lambda_2 v_2 \\ \lambda_3 v_3 = \alpha \lambda_3 v_1 + \beta \lambda_3 v_2 \end{cases}$$

which implies $\alpha(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)v_1 + \beta(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)v_2 = 0$ and so $\alpha = \beta = 0$, a contradiction. Thus v_1, v_2, v_3 are independent. Keep going. One can see that v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent. □

Lemma 0.9 If an $n \times n$ matrix A has n **distinct real** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n , then

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \tag{4}$$

where $P = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ (each v_i is a **column** eigenvector). Here $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ means the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Proof. Note that

$$AP = P \times \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

and the proof is done. □

Remark 0.10 Compare the difference between $P \times \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ (the i -th column of P is multiplied by λ_i) and $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)P$ (the i -th row of P is multiplied by λ_i).

Lemma 0.11 If λ is a **real** eigenvalue of A with corresponding eigenvector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (note that $v \neq 0$), then the function

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t}v, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

is a solution of (1) on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof. We have $Av = \lambda v$. Hence

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t}v = A(e^{\lambda t}v) = A\mathbf{x}(t).$$

□

Lemma 0.12 (First version.) If A has n **distinct real** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n , then if $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (1) on $(-\infty, \infty)$, it can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 + \dots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} v_n, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (5)$$

for some constants c_1, \dots, c_n . Therefore, the **general solution** of the linear system $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$ in this case (i.e., A has n **distinct real** eigenvalues) is given by (5).

Proof. At any time $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ one can decompose $\mathbf{x}(t)$ as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = a_1(t) v_1 + \dots + a_n(t) v_n$$

for some coefficient functions $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$. We now have

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}(t) = a_1'(t) v_1 + \dots + a_n'(t) v_n = A\mathbf{x}(t) = \lambda_1 a_1(t) v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n(t) v_n.$$

This implies $a_1'(t) = \lambda_1 a_1(t), \dots, a_n'(t) = \lambda_n a_n(t)$. Hence there exist constants c_1, \dots, c_n such that

$$a_1(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}, \quad \dots, \quad a_n(t) = c_n e^{\lambda_n t}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

The proof is done. □

Remark 0.13 (Matrix representation of the solution.) One can express (5) as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = PD(t)C, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (6)$$

where $P = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ (each v_i is a column eigenvector of λ_i), $D = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})$, and C is an arbitrary constant (column) vector.

Remark 0.14 (Matrix representation of the solution.) In case there is a initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, then one just solve for $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ so that

$$c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n = \mathbf{x}_0. \quad (7)$$

In matrix form we have $PC = \mathbf{x}_0$ (column vector), where $P = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ (each v_i is a column eigenvector of λ_i) and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ (column vector) is to be solved. Hence we get $C = P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 + \dots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} v_n \\ &= PD(t) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = PD(t) P^{-1} \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $D(t)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})$. Since the solution for c_1, \dots, c_n in the equation (7) is unique, we know that there is a unique solution to the initial value problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \quad (9)$$

and the solution is defined on $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

We can summarize the conclusion in the above remark as:

Lemma 0.15 (Second version.) Assume A has n **distinct real** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n and let $P = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Then the **general solution** to the equation $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = PD(t)C, \quad \text{where } C \text{ is an arbitrary constant vector, } t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (10)$$

and the **unique solution** to the initial value problem (9) is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = PD(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (11)$$

where $D(t)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})$.

Remark 0.16 (Important.) If we choose different eigenvectors w_1, \dots, w_n for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, then there are numbers a_1, \dots, a_n (all are nonzero) so that

$$w_1 = a_1 v_1, \quad \dots, \quad w_n = a_n v_n.$$

Hence the matrix $Q = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ (each w_i is a **column** eigenvector) satisfies the identity

$$Q = PM, \quad \text{where } M = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

and so

$$QD(t)Q^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = PMD(t)(PM)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = P[MD(t)M^{-1}]P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = PD(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Therefore, the solution formula (11) is independent of the choice of eigenvectors for the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Proof. The proof is already done due to Remark 0.13 and Remark 0.14. Here we shall give a different proof revealing the importance of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Suppose we want to solve the initial value problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0, \quad (12)$$

where the n variables x_1, \dots, x_n are coupled in each equation of the system. The idea is to **decouple** the variables x_1, \dots, x_n by a linear change of variables. Let $\mathbf{x}(t) = P\mathbf{y}(t)$, where P is some constant *nonsingular* $n \times n$ matrix and $\mathbf{y}(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ is the new variable. If we plug $\mathbf{x}(t) = P\mathbf{y}(t)$ into (12), we get

$$P \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = AP\mathbf{y}, \quad P\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Hence the new equation for the new variable $\mathbf{y}(t)$ is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = P^{-1}AP\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Therefore, if $P^{-1}AP$ is a diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ (in such a case, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ must be eigenvalues of A and the column vectors v_1, \dots, v_n of P must be eigenvectors of A) we will have

$$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = \lambda_1 y_1, \quad \frac{dy_2}{dt} = \lambda_2 y_2, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{dy_n}{dt} = \lambda_n y_n, \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0$$

and the solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ is (**note that now the system has been decoupled**)

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})\mathbf{y}(0) = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})(P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0) = D(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = P\mathbf{y}(t) = PD(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0$$

and the proof is done. □

Example 0.17 Consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = 3x_1 - x_2 \\ x_2'(t) = 4x_1 - 2x_2. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\lambda_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = -1$, $v_1 = (1, 1)$, $v_2 = (1, 4)$. Thus

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 4e^{2t} - e^{-t} & -e^{2t} + e^{-t} \\ 4e^{2t} - 4e^{-t} & -e^{2t} + 4e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \end{aligned}$$

is the solution of (13) with initial data \mathbf{x}_0 . One can also use the formula

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 e^{-t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and solve for c_1 , c_2 satisfying the system

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x}_0.$$

In general, the $n \times n$ real matrix A may have *repeated* or *complex* eigenvalues. To discuss the general solution of the linear system $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$, we need to introduce the following concept of **the exponential of a real matrix A** :

Definition 0.18 Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix. We define its **exponential** e^A to be the $n \times n$ real matrix

$$e^A = I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots. \quad (14)$$

Remark 0.19 By definition, we have $e^0 = I$, where 0 is the zero $n \times n$ matrix.

Remark 0.20 The definition is motivated by the Taylor series for e^x :

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Example 0.21 If $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, then $e^A = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n})$.

Of course, we need to check the following:

Lemma 0.22 Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix. Then the series

$$I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots \quad (15)$$

converges absolutely. In particular, the above series converges and is a well-defined matrix, denoted as e^A .

Proof. Let $M = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |a_{ij}|$ and for convenience, look at the $(1, 1)$ term $a_{11}^{(k)}$ in each A^k . We have

$$\left| a_{11}^{(1)} \right| \leq M, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(2)} \right| \leq nM^2, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(3)} \right| \leq n^2M^3, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(4)} \right| \leq n^3M^4, \quad \dots, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Hence the series at the $(1, 1)$ position in e^{tA} , which is

$$1 + a_{11}^{(1)} + \frac{a_{11}^{(2)}}{2!} + \frac{a_{11}^{(3)}}{3!} + \dots,$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \left| a_{11}^{(1)} \right| + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(2)} \right|}{2!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(3)} \right|}{3!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(4)} \right|}{4!} + \dots \\ & \leq 1 + M + \frac{nM^2}{2!} + \frac{n^2M^3}{3!} + \frac{n^3M^4}{4!} + \dots \leq e^{nM}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the partial sum of the *positive* series

$$1 + \left| a_{11}^{(1)} \right| + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(2)} \right|}{2!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(3)} \right|}{3!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(4)} \right|}{4!} + \dots$$

has upper bound. Hence it must converge. The same argument applies to other components and the proof is done. \square

Lemma 0.23 *Let A, B be two $n \times n$ real matrices such that $B = P^{-1}AP$ (in such a case we say B is **similar** to A), where P is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Then*

$$e^B = P^{-1}e^AP. \tag{16}$$

In particular, if $B = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, then $e^A = P \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}) P^{-1}$.

Remark 0.24 (Important.) *The above says that, to compute e^A , it suffices to diagonalize the matrix A (if this can be done).*

Proof. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^B &= e^{P^{-1}AP} = I + P^{-1}AP + \frac{(P^{-1}AP)^2}{2!} + \frac{(P^{-1}AP)^3}{3!} + \dots \\ &= P^{-1}IP + P^{-1}AP + \frac{(P^{-1}AP)^2}{2!} + \frac{(P^{-1}AP)^3}{3!} + \dots \\ &= P^{-1} \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots \right) P, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the n -th partial sum (denote it as s_n) in the series for e^B is given by

$$s_n = P^{-1} \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{A^n}{n!} \right) P.$$

Since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{A^n}{n!} \right) = e^A,$$

we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = P^{-1}e^AP.$$

The proof is done. \square

Lemma 0.25 If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of an $n \times n$ real matrix A with corresponding eigenvector $v \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $e^A v = e^\lambda v$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e^A v &= \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots \right) v \\ &= Iv + Av + \frac{A^2}{2!} v + \frac{A^3}{3!} v + \cdots = v + \lambda v + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} v + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} v + \cdots \\ &= \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \cdots \right) v = e^\lambda v. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 0.26 If B is an $n \times n$ real matrix satisfying $AB = BA$, then we have

$$Be^A = e^A B \quad (17)$$

and

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B = e^B e^A. \quad (18)$$

In particular, for any $n \times n$ real matrix A the matrix e^A is always invertible with

$$(e^A)^{-1} = e^{-A}. \quad (19)$$

Remark 0.27 (Interesting) The condition $AB = BA$ in (17) and (18) are necessary. There exist two 2×2 real matrices A, B such that $AB \neq BA$ and

$$e^A e^B \neq e^B e^A.$$

For example, take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad AB = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$e^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^B = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^A e^B = \begin{pmatrix} e & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^B e^A = \begin{pmatrix} e & e \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{A+B} = e^{B+A} = \begin{pmatrix} e & e-1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $e^{A+B} = e^{B+A}$, $e^A e^B$ and $e^B e^A$ are all different.

Proof. (Omit in class. See Remark 0.32 also.) For (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Be^A &= B \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j \right), \quad s_j = I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{A^j}{j!} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (Bs_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (s_j B) = \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j \right) B = e^A B. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (18) is more delicate. For convenience we look at the case $n = 2$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can write e^A as

$$e^A = \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) + \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where each term $*$ in the second matrix satisfies $|*| < \varepsilon$ (if j is large enough). Similar we have

$$e^B = \left(I + B + \frac{B^2}{2!} + \frac{B^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{B^j}{j!} \right) + \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where $|*| < \varepsilon$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} e^A e^B &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and (here we use the assumption $AB = BA$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) \left(I + B + \frac{B^2}{2!} + \frac{B^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{B^j}{j!} \right) \\ &= I + (A + B) + \frac{(A + B)^2}{2!} + \frac{(A + B)^3}{3!} + \cdots \\ &\text{(this is not same as } I + (A + B) + \frac{(A + B)^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{(A + B)^j}{j!} \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, in the limit we can get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) \left(I + B + \frac{B^2}{2!} + \frac{B^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{B^j}{j!} \right) \\ - \left(I + (A + B) + \frac{(A+B)^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{(A+B)^j}{j!} \right) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} \right) = e^{A+B}$$

and then

$$e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$$

The proof is done.

To prove the last identity, note that A and $-A$ are commutable, which implies

$$e^A e^{-A} = e^{A+(-A)} = e^0 = I \quad (\text{similarly, we have } e^{-A} e^A = I).$$

Therefore $(e^A)^{-1} = e^{-A}$. □

Lemma 0.28 *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval. Then the series*

$$e^{tA} := I + tA + \frac{t^2 A^2}{2!} + \frac{t^3 A^3}{3!} + \cdots \quad (20)$$

*converges absolutely and **uniformly** for all $t \in I$. In particular, e^{tA} is defined on $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.*

Proof. Since the interval I is bounded, each component of the matrix $tA = (ta_{ij})$ is bounded. Let $M = \max_{t \in I, 1 \leq i, j \leq n} |ta_{ij}|$ and for convenience, look at the $(1, 1)$ term $a_{11}^{(k)}(t)$ in each $(tA)^k$. We have

$$\left| a_{11}^{(1)}(t) \right| \leq M, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(2)}(t) \right| \leq nM^2, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(3)}(t) \right| \leq n^2 M^3, \quad \left| a_{11}^{(4)}(t) \right| \leq n^3 M^4, \quad \dots, \text{ etc.}$$

Hence the series at the $(1, 1)$ position in e^{tA} , which is

$$1 + a_{11}^{(1)}(t) + \frac{a_{11}^{(2)}(t)}{2!} + \frac{a_{11}^{(3)}(t)}{3!} + \dots, \quad t \in I \quad (21)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \left| a_{11}^{(1)}(t) \right| + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(2)}(t) \right|}{2!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(3)}(t) \right|}{3!} + \frac{\left| a_{11}^{(4)}(t) \right|}{4!} + \dots \\ & \leq 1 + M + \frac{nM^2}{2!} + \frac{n^2M^3}{3!} + \frac{n^3M^4}{4!} + \dots \leq e^{nM} \quad \text{for all } t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

By the Weierstrass M-test in Advanced Calculus (see Rudin, p. 148), the series (21) converges uniformly on I . The same argument applies to other components and the proof is done. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 0.28, we have:

Lemma 0.29 *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix. We have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{tA} = Ae^{tA} = e^{tA}A \quad \text{for all } t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (22)$$

Proof. We already know that the series

$$s_j(t) = I + tA + \frac{t^2A^2}{2!} + \frac{t^3A^3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{t^jA^j}{j!}$$

converges uniformly (as $j \rightarrow \infty$) on any finite interval $t \in (a, b)$ to e^{tA} . Similarly the series

$$\frac{d}{dt}s_j(t) = A + \frac{2tA^2}{2!} + \frac{3t^2A^3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{jt^{j-1}A^j}{j!} = A \left(I + tA + \frac{t^2A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{t^{j-1}A^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right) \quad (23)$$

also converges uniformly (as $j \rightarrow \infty$) on $t \in (a, b)$ to Ae^{tA} . Hence (see Rudin's book "Principles of Mathematical Analysis", p. 152, Theorem 7.17) one can change the order of differentiation and limit, and get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}e^{tA} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j(t) \right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{d}{dt} s_j(t) \right) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left[A \left(I + tA + \frac{t^2A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{t^{j-1}A^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right) \right] = Ae^{tA} \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Since the interval (a, b) can be arbitrary, the identity is valid for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. This proves the first identity. For the second identity, we can also write (23) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}s_j(t) = \left(I + tA + \frac{t^2A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{t^{j-1}A^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right) A$$

and get $\frac{d}{dt}e^{tA} = e^{tA}A$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. One can also use the fact that A and tA commute and by Lemma 0.26 we obtain $Ae^{tA} = e^{tA}A$ for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. \square

We are ready to state the following fundamental theorem for a linear system:

Theorem 0.30 (*Fundamental theorem for linear system.*) For a given $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

has a unique solution $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (25)$$

Here e^{tA} is the **exponential matrix** of the matrix tA .

Remark 0.31 In case A is diagonalizable with $P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ (call it D), we have

$$e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 = e^{t(PDP^{-1})}\mathbf{x}_0 = e^{P(tD)P^{-1}}\mathbf{x}_0 = Pe^{tD}P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = PD(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad (26)$$

where $D(t)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t})$. This is the same as (11).

Proof. We first have $\mathbf{x}(0) = e^0\mathbf{x}_0 = I\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0$ and

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}e^{tA}\right)\mathbf{x}_0 = (Ae^{tA})\mathbf{x}_0 = A(e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0) = A\mathbf{x}(t), \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Thus it is a solution of (24) on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\mathbf{y}(t)$ is another solution on some interval I , $0 \in I$, with $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, we look at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-tA}\mathbf{y}(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt}(e^{t(-A)}\mathbf{y}(t)) = (-A)e^{t(-A)}\mathbf{y}(t) + e^{t(-A)}\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(t) \\ &= (-A)e^{t(-A)}\mathbf{y}(t) + e^{t(-A)}A\mathbf{y}(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity $Ae^{t(-A)} = e^{t(-A)}A$. Hence $e^{-tA}\mathbf{y}(t) = \text{const.}$ on I and by $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, we obtain $\mathbf{y}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0$, $t \in I$. The proof is done. \square

Remark 0.32 As an application of the fundamental theorem, we can use it to prove that if $AB = BA$, then

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B, \quad (27)$$

where A, B are two $n \times n$ real matrices. We consider the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = (A+B)\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

The unique solution is given by $\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{t(A+B)}\mathbf{x}_0$. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0) &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}e^{tA}\right)e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0 + e^{tA}\left(\frac{d}{dt}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0\right) = (Ae^{tA})e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0 + e^{tA}(Be^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= Ae^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0 + Be^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0 = (A+B)e^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity $e^{tA}B = Be^{tA}$ (this is much easier to check than (27)). Thus $e^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0$ is also a solution of (28) (note that $e^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0|_{t=0} = \mathbf{x}_0$) and uniqueness implies

$$e^{tA}e^{tB}\mathbf{x}_0 = e^{t(A+B)}\mathbf{x}_0$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and all $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, we have

$$e^{tA}e^{tB} = e^{t(A+B)}, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Letting $t = 1$, we have proved (27).

Exercise 0.33 As an interesting application of Lemma 0.29, we can do the following:

1. Let A, B be two $n \times n$ real matrices and we have

$$e^{tA} = e^{tB}, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Prove or disprove that $A = B$.

2. Let A, B be two $n \times n$ real matrices and we have

$$e^A = e^B.$$

Prove or disprove that $A = B$.

Solution:

(1). The answer is YES, i.e. $A = B$. To see this, apply d/dt to both sides and get

$$Ae^{tA} = Be^{tB}, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Letting $t = 0$, we get $A = B$.

(2). The answer is NO. It is possible to have $A \neq B$, but we still get $e^A = e^B$. As a simple example, choose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\pi \\ 2\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = 2\pi.$$

Then

$$e^A = I, \quad e^B = \begin{pmatrix} e^\alpha \cos \beta & -e^\alpha \sin \beta \\ e^\alpha \sin \beta & e^\alpha \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$e^B = I \quad \text{for any} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2k\pi \\ 2k\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

0.2 2×2 linear system with constant coefficients.

The key point in the fundamental theorem is to compute the matrix e^{tA} . This is not easy when A is **not** diagonalizable. However, if A is a 2×2 matrix, then e^{tA} is not difficult to compute. We first have:

Lemma 0.34 If A is a 2×2 real matrix, then there is an invertible real matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP$ has one of the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

for some real numbers $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$.

Remark 0.35 We call (29) the **Jordan canonical forms** of A .

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be the two eigenvalues of A . If λ_1, λ_2 are real and distinct, we have the first form. If λ_1, λ_2 are real and equal (call them λ), then there exists a nonzero vector $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $Av_1 = \lambda v_1$. Let W be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$W = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^2 : Av = \lambda v\} = \ker(A - \lambda I).$$

If $W = \mathbb{R}^2$, then $A = \lambda I$ and we are in the first case again. Hence we assume that $\dim W = 1$ and choose **any nonzero vector** $w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which is **independent** to v . Then we have

$$Aw = \alpha v_1 + \beta w \quad \text{for some number } \alpha \neq 0, \beta.$$

Note that if $\alpha = 0$, then we have $Aw = \beta w$, $w \neq 0$, and so β is an eigenvalue (which must be the same as λ) and then we have two independent eigenvectors v_1, w of λ , a contradiction. Hence $\alpha \neq 0$ and the two equation

$$\begin{cases} Av_1 = \lambda v_1 \\ Aw = \alpha v_1 + \beta w \end{cases}$$

can be expressed as

$$A(v_1, w) = (v_1, w) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \neq 0,$$

which is the same as

$$(v_1, w)^{-1} A(v_1, w) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \neq 0. \quad (30)$$

Since the matrix in (30) is upper triangular, the number β must be an eigenvalue and is equal to λ . As a conclusion, we have

$$\begin{cases} Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \\ Aw = \alpha v_1 + \lambda w, \quad \alpha \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

and so

$$\begin{cases} Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \\ Av_2 = v_1 + \lambda v_2, \quad \text{where } v_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha} w. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

This gives the second case if we choose $P = (v_1, v_2)$.

Remark 0.36 *Another argument to derive (31): we already have $Av_1 = \lambda v_1$. Since $A \neq \lambda I$, there is a vector $w \neq 0$, independent to v_1 , such that $Aw - \lambda w \neq 0$. Let $\sigma = Aw - \lambda w \neq 0$. By the **Cayley-Hamilton Theorem** in Linear Algebra, we know that*

$$(A - \lambda I)\sigma = (A - \lambda I)(A - \lambda I)w = (A - \lambda I)^2 w = 0.$$

Hence the nonzero vector σ must lie in the eigenspace of the eigenvalue λ and so $\sigma = \alpha v_1$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{cases} Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \\ Aw = \alpha v_1 + \lambda w, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

which is the same as (31).

If $\lambda_1 = \alpha + i\beta$, $\lambda_2 = \alpha - i\beta$, $\beta > 0$, then let $v_1 = u + iw$, $v_2 = u - iw$, $w \neq 0$, be corresponding **complex eigenvectors** of $\lambda_1 = \alpha + i\beta$ and $\lambda_2 = \alpha - i\beta$ respectively. We have $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and by

$$Av_1 = Au + iAw = (\alpha + i\beta)(u + iw) = (\alpha u - \beta w) + i(\alpha w + \beta u)$$

we have

$$Au = \alpha u - \beta w, \quad Aw = \beta u + \alpha w, \quad (33)$$

which also implies that u, w are *linearly independent* in \mathbb{R}^2 (why? we first see that $u \neq 0$; then if u is a multiple of w , A will have a **real** eigenvalue, a contradiction).

Now choose $P = (w, u)$ (note that here we change the order of u, w) and the above implies

$$A(w, u) = (w, u) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives the third case. Note that here we have changed the order of u and w . □

Remark 0.37 (Important.) *If we do not change order of u and w , we get*

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where now $P = (u, w)$ and $u + iw$ is the complex eigenvector of $\alpha + i\beta$. The reason that we prefer the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

instead of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

is that the we have the correspondence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \iff (\alpha + i\beta)(x + iy). \quad (34)$$

Remark 0.38 (Interesting.) *Let $\{u, w\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . If we have*

$$\begin{cases} Au = \alpha u - \beta w \\ Aw = \beta u + \alpha w, \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

which is same as $A(u + iw) = (\alpha + i\beta)(u + iw)$ or

$$(w, u)^{-1} A(w, u) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have (see Lemma 0.41 below)

$$(w, u)^{-1} e^A(w, u) = \begin{pmatrix} e^\alpha \cos \beta & -e^\alpha \sin \beta \\ e^\alpha \sin \beta & e^\alpha \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we get

$$e^A(w, u) = (w, u) \begin{pmatrix} e^\alpha \cos \beta & -e^\alpha \sin \beta \\ e^\alpha \sin \beta & e^\alpha \cos \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. we can conclude the following identities:

$$\begin{cases} e^A u = (e^\alpha \cos \beta) u - (e^\alpha \sin \beta) w \\ e^A w = (e^\alpha \sin \beta) u + (e^\alpha \cos \beta) w. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Example 0.39 *Reduce the matrix*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

to canonical form.

Solution: The matrix has two repeated eigenvalue $\lambda = 2$. Solve

$$\begin{cases} x - y = 2x \\ x + 3y = 2y \end{cases}$$

to get one eigenvector $v_1 = (1, -1)$. Choose $w = (1, 0)$ and get (note that one can choose any nonzero vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which is **independent** to v). Then we have

$$Aw = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha v_1 + 2w, \quad \alpha = -1,$$

where we note that the coefficient in front of w is 2, which is an eigenvalue (this must be the case as claimed in our proof).

According to the proof, if we choose $v_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}w = -w = (-1, 0)$, we will have

$$Av_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = v_1 + 2v_2.$$

Hence

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}AP &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is the canonical form. □

Example 0.40 Reduce the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to canonical form.

Solution: The matrix has two complex conjugate eigenvalues $\lambda = 2 \pm i$ ($= \alpha \pm i\beta$, $\beta > 0$). Solve

$$\begin{cases} 3x - 2y = (2 + i)x \\ x + y = (2 + i)y \end{cases}$$

to get $x = (1 + i)y$. Hence a complex eigenvector for $2 + i$ is (we take $y = 1$)

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = u + iw.$$

If we let

$$P = (w, u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}AP &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a canonical form. □

Lemma 0.41 *If*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$ are real numbers, then we have

$$e^{tB} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\mu t} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha t} \cos \beta t & -e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t \\ e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t & e^{\alpha t} \cos \beta t \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Remark 0.42 *If*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} e^B &= e^{A+C} = e^A e^C = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix} \left(I + C + \frac{C^2}{2!} + \cdots \right), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & be^a \\ 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first case is trivial. For the second case, we have

$$tB = (\lambda t)I + C, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } (\lambda t)I \text{ and } C \text{ commute.}$$

Hence

$$e^{tB} = e^{(\lambda t)I} e^C = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \left(I + C + \frac{C^2}{2!} + \cdots \right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the third case, we have

$$tB = (\alpha t)I + C, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta t \\ \beta t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{tB} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\alpha t} \end{pmatrix} \left(I + C + \frac{C^2}{2!} + \frac{C^3}{3!} + \cdots \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &I + C + \frac{C^2}{2!} + \frac{C^3}{3!} + \cdots \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta t \\ \beta t & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2!} \begin{pmatrix} -(\beta t)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\beta t)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\beta t)^3 \\ -(\beta t)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4!} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta t)^4 & 0 \\ 0 & (\beta t)^4 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{5!} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\beta t)^5 \\ (\beta t)^5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{(\beta t)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\beta t)^4}{4!} + \cdots & -(\beta t) + \frac{(\beta t)^3}{3!} - \frac{(\beta t)^5}{5!} + \cdots \\ (\beta t) - \frac{(\beta t)^3}{3!} + \frac{(\beta t)^5}{5!} + \cdots & 1 - \frac{(\beta t)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\beta t)^4}{4!} + \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\beta t) & -\sin(\beta t) \\ \sin(\beta t) & \cos(\beta t) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\alpha t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\beta t) & -\sin(\beta t) \\ \sin(\beta t) & \cos(\beta t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) & -e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \\ e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) & e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is done. □

Corollary 0.43 For any 2×2 real matrix A we have

$$\det e^A = e^{\text{Tr}A}, \quad (37)$$

where $\text{Tr}A$ denotes the trace of A . In particular, we have

$$\det e^{tA} = e^{t(\text{Tr}A)} \quad \text{for all } t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (38)$$

Remark 0.44 The above corollary is actually valid for any $n \times n$ real matrix A . We shall prove this later on.

Proof. Choose P such that $P^{-1}AP = B$ has one of the forms in (29). Then $e^A = Pe^BP^{-1}$, where

$$e^B = \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & e^\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & e^\lambda \\ 0 & e^\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^\alpha \cos \beta & -e^\alpha \sin \beta \\ e^\alpha \sin \beta & e^\alpha \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

and we also know that $\text{Tr}A = \text{Tr}B$, $\det A = \det B$, $\text{Tr}e^A = \text{Tr}e^B$, $\det e^A = \det e^B$. Now, in any case, we have $\det e^B = e^{\text{Tr}B}$, and so

$$\det e^A = e^{\text{Tr}A}.$$

The proof is done. □

Example 0.45 Assume that A is a 2×2 matrix. Is it possible to have

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^t \\ e^{2t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$? Give your reasons.

Solution:

By the identity $\det e^{tA} = e^{(\text{Tr} A)t}$ we must have $\det e^{tA} > 0$ for any matrix A and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. But now

$$\det e^{tA} = -e^{3t} < 0.$$

Hence it is impossible. □

By the previous lemmas, we have:

Corollary 0.46 Consider the 2×2 linear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

where A has 2 repeated eigenvalue λ and $A \neq \lambda I$. Then the solution (in matrix form) is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 = P \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 \quad (40)$$

where P is any 2×2 invertible matrix satisfying

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if $P = (v_1, v_2)$, then $Av_1 = \lambda v_1$, $Av_2 = v_1 + \lambda v_2$, and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can also be written (in vector form) as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda t} v_1 + c_2 (te^{\lambda t} v_1 + e^{\lambda t} v_2), \quad (41)$$

where c_1, c_2 solves

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 = \mathbf{x}_0 \quad (\text{this is same as } P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Remark 0.47 Note that the coefficient of $te^{\lambda t}$ is the eigenvector v_1 .

Remark 0.48 In the above corollary we have

$$(A - \lambda I)v_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1. \quad (42)$$

In Linear Algebra book, the vector v_2 is also called a **generalized eigenvector**.

We also have:

Corollary 0.49 Consider the 2×2 linear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where A has 2 complex conjugate eigenvalues $\alpha + i\beta$, $\alpha - i\beta$, $\beta > 0$. Then the solution (in matrix form) is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 = P \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) & -e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \\ e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) & e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 \quad (44)$$

where P is any 2×2 invertible matrix satisfying

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if $P = (v_1, v_2)$ (note that now the eigenvector of $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$ is $v_2 + iv_1$), then

$$Av_1 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2, \quad Av_2 = -\beta v_1 + \alpha v_2, \quad (45)$$

and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can also be written (in vector form) as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 [e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \cdot v_1 + e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \cdot v_2] + c_2 [-e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \cdot v_1 + e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \cdot v_2], \quad (46)$$

where c_1, c_2 solves

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 = \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Remark 0.50 (another method) This is to use **complex solutions** and take its **real part** to get real solutions. Assume A has 2 complex conjugate eigenvalues $\alpha + i\beta$, $\alpha - i\beta$, $\beta > 0$, with corresponding complex eigenvectors $v = u + iw$ and $\bar{v} = u - iw$, where $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the general complex solution of $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$ is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} (u + iw) + c_2 e^{(\alpha-i\beta)t} (u - iw),$$

where $c_1 = a_1 + ib_1$, $c_2 = a_2 + ib_2$ are two arbitrary **complex** constants. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} (u + iw) + c_2 e^{(\alpha-i\beta)t} (u - iw) \\ &= (a_1 + ib_1) e^{(\alpha+i\beta)t} (u + iw) + (a_2 + ib_2) e^{(\alpha-i\beta)t} (u - iw) \\ &= e^{\alpha t} (a_1 + ib_1) \underbrace{(\cos \beta t + i \sin \beta t) (u + iw)} + e^{\alpha t} (a_2 + ib_2) \underbrace{(\cos \beta t - i \sin \beta t) (u - iw)} \\ &= \begin{cases} e^{\alpha t} (a_1 + ib_1) \{[(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] + i[(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w]\} \\ + e^{\alpha t} (a_2 + ib_2) \{[(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] - i[(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w]\} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The **real part** of the above complex solution is given by

$$\begin{cases} e^{\alpha t} \{a_1 [(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] - b_1 [(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w]\} \\ + e^{\alpha t} \{a_2 [(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] + b_2 [(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w]\} \\ = (a_1 + a_2) e^{\alpha t} [(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] + (b_2 - b_1) e^{\alpha t} [(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w] \end{cases}$$

and since a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are all arbitrary, we obtain the general real solution

$$c_1 e^{\alpha t} [(\cos \beta t) u - (\sin \beta t) w] + c_2 e^{\alpha t} [(\sin \beta t) u + (\cos \beta t) w] \quad (47)$$

for arbitrary real constants c_1, c_2 . Note that (47) is same as (46) if we replace u by v_2 and w by v_1 .

Example 0.51 (See Example 0.39 first.) Consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} x'_1(t) = x_1 - x_2 \\ x'_2(t) = x_1 + 3x_2, \end{cases} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

We have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$ and the canonical form

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P = (v_1, v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad Av_1 = 2v_1, \quad Av_2 = v_1 + 2v_2.$$

The general solution is given by (in vector form)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= c_1 e^{\lambda t} v_1 + c_2 (t e^{\lambda t} v_1 + e^{\lambda t} v_2) \\ &= c_1 e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \left(t e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$x_1(t) = c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 (t - 1) e^{2t}, \quad x_2(t) = -c_1 e^{2t} - c_2 t e^{2t},$$

where c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants.

Example 0.52 (See Example 0.40 first.) Consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} x'_1(t) = 3x_1 - 2x_2 \\ x'_2(t) = x_1 + x_2, \end{cases} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (49)$$

We have $\lambda_1 = 2 + i, \lambda_2 = 2 - i$, and the canonical form

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P = (v_1, v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad Av_1 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2, \quad Av_2 = -\beta v_1 + \alpha v_2.$$

The general solution is given by (in vector form)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= c_1 [e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \cdot v_1 + e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \cdot v_2] + c_2 [-e^{\alpha t} \sin(\beta t) \cdot v_1 + e^{\alpha t} \cos(\beta t) \cdot v_2] \\ &= c_1 \left[e^{2t} \cos t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{2t} \sin t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] + c_2 \left[-e^{2t} \sin t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{2t} \cos t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants.

0.3 Some fact from linear algebra.

Lemma 0.53 Let A, B be two $n \times n$ real matrices with $B = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$ where each \mathbf{b}_i is a column vector. Then

$$\det(\mathbf{Ab}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) + \det(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{Ab}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) + \dots + \det(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Ab}_n) = \text{Tr} A \cdot \det B, \quad (50)$$

where $\text{Tr} A$ denotes the trace of A .

Proof. Define the map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) \\ = \det(\mathbf{Ab}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) + \det(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{Ab}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) + \dots + \det(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Ab}_n). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that F is an **alternating multilinear map**. In particular, we have $F(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n) = 0$ if $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

In view of this, it suffices to check that (50) holds for the case $B = (\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$, where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . But that is obvious. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 0.54 Let $A(t)$ be a time-dependent $n \times n$ real matrix which is invertible for all $t \in I$ (some interval). Then we have the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det A(t) = \text{Tr} \left(A^{-1}(t) \frac{dA}{dt} \right) \cdot \det A(t), \quad \forall t \in I, \quad (51)$$

where we note that $\text{Tr} \left(A^{-1}(t) \frac{dA}{dt} \right) = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1}(t) \right)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the previous lemma. Write $A(t) = (\mathbf{a}_1(t), \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n(t))$, where $\mathbf{a}_i(t)$ are column vectors. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \det A(t) \\ = \det(\mathbf{a}'_1(t), \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n(t)) + \det(\mathbf{a}_1(t), \mathbf{a}'_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n(t)) + \dots + \det(\mathbf{a}_1(t), \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}'_n(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and we note that

$$A'(t) = (\mathbf{a}'_1(t), \mathbf{a}'_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}'_n(t))$$

and if we let $P(t) = \frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1}(t)$, then

$$P(t) \mathbf{a}_1(t) = \left(\frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1}(t) \right) \mathbf{a}_1(t) = \frac{dA}{dt} (A^{-1}(t) \mathbf{a}_1(t)) = \frac{dA}{dt} (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T = \mathbf{a}'_1(t)$$

and similarly

$$P(t) \mathbf{a}_2(t) = \left(\frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1}(t) \right) \mathbf{a}_2(t) = \frac{dA}{dt} (A^{-1}(t) \mathbf{a}_2(t)) = \frac{dA}{dt} (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T = \mathbf{a}'_2(t), \quad \text{etc.}$$

Hence by Lemma 0.53 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \det A(t) \\ = \begin{cases} \det(P(t) \mathbf{a}_1(t), \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n(t)) + \det(\mathbf{a}_1(t), P(t) \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n(t)) \\ + \dots + \det(\mathbf{a}_1(t), \mathbf{a}_2(t), \dots, P(t) \mathbf{a}_n(t)) \end{cases} \\ = \text{Tr} (P(t)) \cdot \det A(t) = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} A^{-1}(t) \right) \cdot \det A(t) = \text{Tr} \left(A^{-1}(t) \frac{dA}{dt} \right) \cdot \det A(t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. \square

Lemma 0.55 Let A be any $n \times n$ real matrix, then

$$\det e^{tA} = e^{t(\text{Tr}A)} \quad \text{for all } t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (52)$$

and when $t = 1$, we get

$$\det e^A = e^{\text{Tr}A}. \quad (53)$$

Remark 0.56 Note that we always have

$$\det e^{tA} > 0$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and all real matrices A .

Proof. Compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det e^{tA} = \text{Tr} \left(B^{-1}(t) \frac{dB}{dt} \right) \cdot \det e^{tA}, \quad B(t) = e^{tA}.$$

Since $B^{-1}(t) = e^{-tA}$ and $\frac{dB}{dt} = Ae^{tA} = e^{tA}A$, we have

$$\text{Tr} \left(B^{-1}(t) \frac{dB}{dt} \right) = \text{Tr} (e^{-tA} e^{tA} A) = \text{Tr} A.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det e^{tA} = \text{Tr} A \cdot \det e^{tA}, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

and so

$$\det e^{tA} = Ce^{(\text{Tr}A)t}, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

for some constant C . Letting $t = 0$, we see that $C = 1$. The proof is done. \square

Corollary 0.57 For any $n \times n$ real matrices A, B , we have the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1). (e^A)^{-1} = e^{-A}. \\ (2). (e^A)^T = e^{A^T}. \\ (3). \det e^A = e^{\text{Tr}A}. \\ (4). e^{A+B} = e^A e^B = e^B e^A = e^{B+A} \quad \text{if } AB = BA. \end{array} \right. \quad (54)$$

In general, there is no identity for $\text{Tr} (e^A)$. However, if A has n distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, then

$$\det e^A = e^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr} e^A = e^{\lambda_1} + \dots + e^{\lambda_n}.$$

Remark 0.58 We also have the following elementary fact: if $B = P^{-1}AP$, where A, B, P are $n \times n$ real matrices, then

$$\det B = \det A, \quad \text{Tr} B = \text{Tr} A, \quad e^B = Pe^A P^{-1}, \quad \det e^B = \det e^A, \quad \text{Tr} e^B = \text{Tr} e^A. \quad (55)$$

Proof. This is now clear. \square

Corollary 0.59 If an $n \times n$ real matrix A satisfies $A^T = -A$ (i.e., A is **anti-symmetric**), then e^A is an **orthogonal** matrix.

Remark 0.60 If A is anti-symmetric, then all of its diagonal elements are zero. In particular, we have $\text{Tr}A = 0$. We also have

$$\det A = \det A^T = \det(-A) = (-1)^n \det A.$$

Hence if n is odd, we have $\det A = 0$.

Proof. Let $M = e^A$. Then, by definition, M is an orthogonal matrix if and only if it satisfies $M^T = M^{-1}$. We now have

$$M^T = (e^A)^T = e^{A^T} = e^{-A} = M^{-1}.$$

The proof is done. □

Lemma 0.61 Assume that A is an $n \times n$ anti-symmetric real matrix. Then for any two solutions $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the linear system of equations

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}$$

their inner product $\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle$ is independent of time.

Proof. By

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), A\mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle = \langle (A + A^T)\mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle = 0$$

the conclusion is proved. □

Remark 0.62 Another proof is: Since e^{tA} is an **orthogonal** matrix, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(t), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(t) \rangle = \langle e^{tA}\mathbf{x}^{(1)}(0), e^{tA}\mathbf{x}^{(2)}(0) \rangle = \langle (e^{tA})^T e^{tA}\mathbf{x}^{(1)}(0), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(0), \mathbf{x}^{(2)}(0) \rangle$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we see that if A is an $n \times n$ anti-symmetric real matrix, the orthogonal linear transformation $e^{tA} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ preserves length and volume for each fixed time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We call the map $e^{tA} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, the **flow** generated by the ODE $d\mathbf{x}/dt = A\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 0.63 Assume that A is a real $n \times n$ anti-symmetric matrix. Then its eigenvalues are either 0 or **pure imaginary**.

Remark 0.64 Compare with the well-known fact: if A is a real $n \times n$ **symmetric** matrix, then all of its eigenvalues are **real**.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real eigenvalue. Then there exists some nonzero $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Av = \lambda v$. Hence

$$\lambda |v|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Av, v \rangle = \langle v, A^T v \rangle = \langle v, -Av \rangle = \langle v, -\lambda v \rangle = -\langle v, \lambda v \rangle = -\lambda |v|^2,$$

which implies that $\lambda = 0$.

On the other hand, if λ is a complex eigenvalue, then there exists some nonzero complex eigenvector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $Av = \lambda v$. Using complex inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\langle Av, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle v, \overline{A^T v} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

and so (note that A is a real matrix)

$$\lambda |v|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle Av, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle v, \overline{A^T v} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle v, \overline{-Av} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -\langle v, Av \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -\langle v, \lambda v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = -\bar{\lambda} |v|^2$$

and so $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0$. Thus λ is **pure imaginary**. □

0.4 Nonhomogeneous 2×2 linear system.

Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix. We now consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}(t), & t \in I, \quad 0 \in I \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

where $\mathbf{b}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous function defined on some interval I with $0 \in I$.

Theorem 0.65 *The solution to (56) is unique and is defined on I , given by the following "general solution formula":*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 + e^{tA} \int_0^t e^{-sA}\mathbf{b}(s) ds, \quad t \in I. \quad (57)$$

Remark 0.66 (57) is the same as the general solution formula in the one-dimensional case. It is good only for theoretical purpose.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} &= Ae^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 + Ae^{tA} \int_0^t e^{-sA}\mathbf{b}(s) ds + e^{tA}e^{-tA}\mathbf{b}(t) \\ &= A \left(e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 + e^{tA} \int_0^t e^{-sA}\mathbf{b}(s) ds \right) + \mathbf{b}(t) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}(t), \quad t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

As for uniqueness, if we have two solutions to (56) on I , their difference $\mathbf{w}(t)$ will satisfy

$$\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{dt} = A\mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{w}(0) = 0.$$

Hence, by uniqueness, we must have $\mathbf{w}(t) \equiv 0$. The proof is done. \square

In practice, we will prefer to use "**diagonalization method (decoupled method)**" if A has 2 distinct real eigenvalues or 2 repeated real eigenvalues. However, if A has 2 complex conjugate eigenvalues, the method is slightly different.

Example 0.67 (2 different real eigenvalues.) Find the general solution of the equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Solution:

We have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = -1$ and corresponding eigenvectors $v_1 = (1, 1)$, $v_2 = (1, 4)$. Thus

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and if we let

$$\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$$

we would have

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = P \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix} = AP\mathbf{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = P^{-1}AP\mathbf{y} + P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives (the system becomes **decoupled**)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = 2y_1 + \frac{1}{3}(8e^{-t} - 3t) \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = -y_2 + \frac{1}{3}(-2e^{-t} + 3t). \end{cases}$$

The solution of the above is

$$\begin{cases} y_1(t) = C_1e^{2t} - \frac{8}{9}e^{-t} + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{4} \\ y_2(t) = C_2e^{-t} - \frac{2}{3}te^{-t} + t - 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally we get the general solution

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = P\mathbf{y}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1e^{-3t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{6} \\ C_2e^{-t} + te^{-t} + \frac{3}{2}t - \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Example 0.68 (*2 different real eigenvalues.*) Find the general solution of the equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Solution:

The two eigenvalues of the coefficients matrix A are $\lambda_1 = -3$, $\lambda_2 = -1$, with corresponding eigenvectors

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let

$$\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$$

we would have

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = P \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix} = AP\mathbf{y} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = P^{-1}AP\mathbf{y} + P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives (the system becomes **decoupled**)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = -3y_1 + \frac{1}{2}(2e^{-t} - 3t) \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = -y_2 + \frac{1}{2}(2e^{-t} + 3t) \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{cases} y_1(t) = C_1e^{-3t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{6}, \\ y_2(t) = C_2e^{-t} + te^{-t} + \frac{3}{2}t - \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Finally we get the general solution

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 e^{-3t} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t} - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{6} \\ C_2 e^{-t} + t e^{-t} + \frac{3}{2}t - \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Example 0.69 (*2 repeated real eigenvalues.*) Find the general solution of the equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Solution:

See Example 0.39 also. We have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$ with $v_1 = (1, -1)$, $v_2 = (-1, 0)$, where

$$Av_1 = 2v_1, \quad Av_2 = v_1 + 2v_2.$$

Hence

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (58)$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$ to get

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = P^{-1}AP\mathbf{y} + P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}$$

and then (the system becomes "**semi-decoupled**")

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = 2y_1 + y_2 - 3t \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = 2y_2 - 2e^{-t} - 3t. \end{cases}$$

One can **solve the second equation first** and then plug into the first equation to solve it (one can always do so, as guaranteed by the canonical form (58)). Finally we have

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We leave the details to you.

□

Example 0.70 (*2 complex conjugate eigenvalues.*) Find the general solution of the equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-t} \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Solution:

See Example 0.40 also. The matrix has eigenvalues $2 \pm i$. A complex eigenvector for $2 + i$ is

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = u + iw.$$

Now

$$P = (w, u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the system in terms of $\mathbf{y}(t)$ ($\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = 2y_1 - y_2 + 2e^{-t} - 3t \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = y_1 + 2y_2 + 3t. \end{cases}$$

Unfortunately this system is **not** decoupled (*however, after change of variables, it has a better symmetric form to work on*). There is one way to avoid the use of formula (57), but we still have to do a lot of computation. Rewrite the above as

$$\begin{cases} (D - 2)y_1 + y_2 = 2e^{-t} - 3t \\ -y_1 + (D - 2)y_2 = 3t, \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

where the operator D means $\frac{d}{dt}$, and if apply the operator $(D - 2)$ to the second equation and add it to the first equation, we would get

$$(D - 2)^2 y_2 + y_2 = 2e^{-t} - 3t + (D - 2)3t = 2e^{-t} - 9t + 3,$$

i.e.,

$$y_2''(t) - 4y_2'(t) + 5y_2(t) = 2e^{-t} - 9t + 3.$$

From it we can solve $y_2(t)$ (use **undetermined coefficient method** or **variation of parameters method**) and plug it into the **second** equation of (59) to solve $y_1(t)$ (be careful: it will be too much trouble if we plug $y_2(t)$ into the first equation of (59) to solve $y_1(t)$). We leave the details to you ... \square

0.5 3×3 linear system with constant coefficients.

The ODE to be solved now is the following 3×3 linear system with constant coefficients:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{where } A \text{ is a } 3 \times 3 \text{ constant real matrix.} \quad (60)$$

By theory, we know that the solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (61)$$

where \mathbf{x}_0 is the initial condition.

In the following, we want to use the "**diagonalization method (decoupled method)**" to solve it. Denote the three eigenvalues of A by λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 . We have several cases to consider.

Before going on, we recall two important facts from Linear Algebra:

Lemma 0.71 *Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix with characteristic polynomial*

$$P_n(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I), \quad \deg P_n(\lambda) = n.$$

If $\lambda = \lambda_0$ is a root of $P_n(\lambda) = 0$ with multiplicity m (i.e. λ_0 is a root which appears m times), $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then we have

$$\dim \ker(A - \lambda_0 I) \leq m, \quad (62)$$

where $\ker(A - \lambda_0 I) := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : (A - \lambda_0 I)v = 0\}$ is the eigenspace of λ_0 .

Remark 0.72 *The above is also known as: "geometric multiplicity" \leq "algebraic multiplicity".*

Lemma 0.73 (Rank Theorem.) *Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation (n, m can be any two positive integers). Then we have*

$$\dim \text{Im } T + \dim \ker T = n. \quad (63)$$

To solve (60), we divide our discussions into several cases.

0.5.1 Case 1: $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are real and distinct.

This is the easiest case. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the corresponding eigenvectors of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Then they are independent. If we let

$$P = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \quad (\text{each } v_i \text{ is a column vector}),$$

then P is invertible with

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$ (change of variables) to get

$$AP\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = P\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}$$

and obtain the equation for $\mathbf{y}(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))$, which is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = P^{-1}AP\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}.$$

Thus one can easily solve $\mathbf{y}(t)$ to get $\mathbf{y}(t) = (c_1e^{\lambda_1 t}, c_2e^{\lambda_2 t}, c_3e^{\lambda_3 t})$. By the relation $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$, one can get the general solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of (60), i.e.,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1e^{\lambda_1 t}v_1 + c_2e^{\lambda_2 t}v_2 + c_3e^{\lambda_3 t}v_3, \quad (64)$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are arbitrary constants.

Remark 0.74 *We also have*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tA}\mathbf{x}_0 = PD(t)P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0, \quad D(t) = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, e^{\lambda_2 t}, e^{\lambda_3 t}), \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \quad (65)$$

and if we write $P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0$ as $P^{-1}\mathbf{x}_0 = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$, we get the same solution as in (64).

0.5.2 Case 2: $\lambda_1 = \lambda, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \sigma, \lambda \neq \sigma, \lambda, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

For this case, we have two subcases: either $\dim \ker(A - \sigma I) = 2$ or $\dim \ker(A - \sigma I) = 1$.

Case 2A: $\dim \ker(A - \sigma I) = 2$. In this case we can find **two** linearly independent eigenvectors v_2, v_3 for the repeated eigenvalue σ . Let v_1 be the corresponding eigenvector of λ , then we can diagonalize A as (it is easy to see that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^3)

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

Then we are in the previous easy case.

Case 2B: $\dim \ker(A - \sigma I) = 1$. In this case we can find only **one** independent eigenvector for the repeated eigenvalue σ . In this case we **cannot** diagonalize the matrix A . However, we have the following:

Lemma 0.75 Assume that we can find only **one** independent eigenvector for the repeated eigenvalue σ . Then there exist three linearly independent vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 (where v_1, v_2 are **eigenvectors** of λ and σ respectively, and v_3 is a **generalized eigenvector** of σ) such that

$$A(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (66)$$

where (v_1, v_2, v_3) is the 3×3 matrix with column vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 .

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 be two independent eigenvectors v_1, v_2 with $Av_1 = \lambda v_1, Av_2 = \sigma v_2, \lambda \neq \sigma$. Consider the map

$$A - \sigma I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (67)$$

Let $R = \text{Im}(A - \sigma I), K = \ker(A - \sigma I)$ (K is the *eigenspace* of σ), $\dim K = 1$. By the **Rank Theorem** in Linear Algebra (applied to the linear transformation $A - \sigma I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$), we know that $\dim R = 2$.

We claim: $K \subset R$ (note that K is a *line* and R is a *plane*).

If $K \not\subset R$, then the operator $A - \sigma I : R \rightarrow R$ (now we restrict $A - \sigma I$ onto the subspace $R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) has zero kernel and thus *1-1*. By **Rank Theorem** again, it is also *onto*. Hence for any $v \in R$ there exists some $w \in R$ such that $(A - \sigma I)w = v$, which gives

$$Av = A(A - \sigma I)w = (A - \sigma I)(Aw) \quad (\text{note that } R \text{ is the image of } A - \sigma I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3)$$

This says that $Av \in R$ also. Hence

$$A : R \rightarrow R \quad (A \text{ is a linear map from } R \text{ to } R, \dim R = 2) \quad (68)$$

and on it we have two eigenvalues β_1, β_2 (regardless of what they are). Since we assume $K = \ker(A - \sigma I) \not\subset R$, both eigenvalues of A on R must be different from σ . This will force (note that A has two eigenvalues λ and σ only)

$$\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \lambda,$$

which contradicts the fact that the eigenvalues of A are λ, σ, σ . Hence $K \subset R$ and the claim is proved.

As $K \subset R$, we have $v_2 \in K \subset R$. Hence there exists some vector $v_3 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(A - \sigma I)v_3 = v_2 \in K \quad (\text{i.e. } Av_3 = v_2 + \sigma v_3) \quad (69)$$

We called v_3 a **generalized eigenvector** of σ corresponding to v_2 . It is *independent* to v_2 .

We then claim that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent. If not, then (we already know that v_1, v_2 are independent)

$$v_3 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 \quad \text{for some } \alpha, \beta.$$

Applying $A - \sigma I$ onto it to get

$$v_2 = (A - \sigma I)v_3 = (A - \sigma I)(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) = \alpha(A - \sigma I)v_1 = \alpha(\lambda - \sigma)v_1,$$

a contradiction. Therefore we have (66) and the proof is done. \square

Remark 0.76 (See Remark 0.48 first.) In the 2×2 case, we have $K = R$ (now both have dimension 1) due to (42). Moreover, we have $(A - \lambda I)^2 = 0$ (now λ is the repeated eigenvalue). This can also be seen from its canonical form since

$$(A - \lambda I)^2 = [p^{-1}(A - \lambda I)P]^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, this is not the case in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Remark 0.77 (Summary.) In conclusion, we need to solve v_1 (eigenvector of λ), v_2 (eigenvector of σ , $v_2 \in K$), v_3 (**generalized eigenvector** of σ corresponding to v_2) satisfying the system:

$$Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \quad Av_2 = \sigma v_2, \quad Av_3 = v_2 + \sigma v_3, \quad (70)$$

where in the third equation of (70), we need to use the fact

$$K = \ker(A - \sigma I) \subset R = \text{Im}(A - \sigma I), \quad K \text{ is a line and } R \text{ is a plane.} \quad (71)$$

Since $v_2 \in K \subset R$, the equation $Av_3 = v_2 + \sigma v_3$ must have a solution for v_3 .

Let $P = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$. In this case the ODE for $\mathbf{y}(t)$ (we let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$) becomes the following "**semi-decoupled system**":

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(t) = (P^{-1}AP)\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \quad (72)$$

and the general solution to the ODE is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= P\mathbf{y}(t) = (v_1, v_2, v_3)\mathbf{y}(t) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda t} \\ (c_2 + c_3 t) e^{\sigma t} \\ c_3 e^{\sigma t} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_1 e^{\lambda t} v_1 + \underbrace{(c_2 + c_3 t)} e^{\sigma t} v_2 + c_3 e^{\sigma t} v_3. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Note that in the above v_1 and v_2 are eigenvalue vectors.

Example 0.78 Find the general solution of the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (74)$$

Solution:

The eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix are $\lambda_1 = 2$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$. To find the eigenvector for $\lambda = 2$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} x = 2x \\ -4x + y = 2y \\ 3x + 6y + 2z = 2z \end{cases}$$

and we obtain $v_1 = (0, 0, 1)$. To find the eigenvector for the repeated $\sigma = 1$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} x = x \\ -4x + y = y \\ 3x + 6y + 2z = z \end{cases}$$

and we obtain one eigenvector $v_2 = (0, 1, -6)$. As it is impossible to find another independent eigenvector, we have to find **generalized eigenvector**. We solve

$$\begin{cases} x = 0 + x \\ -4x + y = 1 + y \\ 3x + 6y + 2z = -6 + z \end{cases}$$

and obtain $x = -1/4$, $-3/4 + 6y + z = -6$. Hence a **generalized eigenvector** is $v_3 = (-1/4, -1, 3/4)$ (or other possible answers). We see that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent.

The general solution is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix} = c_1 e^{2t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{(c_2 + c_3 t)} e^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 e^t \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} \\ -1 \\ \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (75)$$

□

Remark 0.79 *Another method: Since the matrix in (74) is lower triangular, one can solve $x(t)$ first and then use it to solve $y(t)$, and then use $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ to solve $z(t)$.*

0.5.3 $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$ (the most difficult case).

Case 1: The eigenspace $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ has dimension 2.

Remark 0.80 *Unless $A = \lambda I$, otherwise the case $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 3$ cannot happen.*

Assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$ and $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 2$. This means that we can find **two** linearly independent eigenvectors of λ .

We claim the following:

Lemma 0.81 *Assume that $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 2$. Then there exist three linearly independent vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 (where v_1, v_2 are **eigenvectors**) such that*

$$A(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (76)$$

where (v_1, v_2, v_3) is the 3×3 matrix with column vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 .

Proof. Consider the map $A - \lambda I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $R = \text{Im}(A - \lambda I)$, $K = \ker(A - \lambda I)$, $\dim K = 2$. By the **Rank Theorem**, we know $\dim R = 1$. **We claim that $R \subset K$** (note that now K is a plane and R is a line). To see this, choose a nonzero vector $v \in R$, then $(A - \lambda I)v \in R$ also (note that now $A - \lambda I : R \rightarrow R$ with $\dim R = 1$). Since $\dim R = 1$, we must have

$$(A - \lambda I)v = \mu v \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\mu \neq 0$, then A has eigenvalue $\lambda + \mu$, a contradiction. Hence $(A - \lambda I)v = 0$ for all $v \in R$ and this implies $R \subset K$.

Now we choose two linearly independent vectors v_1, v_2 in K with $v_1 \notin R$, $v_2 \in R$. Then there exists some nonzero vector v_3 such that

$$(A - \lambda I)v_3 = v_2 \in R. \quad (77)$$

Such vector $v_3 \notin K$ and so it is independent to v_1, v_2 . We now have identity (76). □

Remark 0.82 (Summary.) *In conclusion, we need to solve v_1 (eigenvector of λ , $v_1 \in K$, $v_1 \notin R$), v_2 (eigenvector of λ , $v_2 \in R \subset K$), v_3 (**generalized eigenvector** of λ corresponding to v_2 , $v_3 \notin K$) satisfying the system:*

$$Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \quad Av_2 = \lambda v_2, \quad Av_3 = v_2 + \lambda v_3. \quad (78)$$

This is similar to (70). In the third equation of (78), we need to use the fact

$$\begin{cases} R = \text{Im}(A - \lambda I) \subset K = \ker(A - \lambda I), & R \text{ is a line and } K \text{ is a plane} \\ v_1, v_2 \in K, & v_1 \notin R, v_2 \in R, \quad v_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

Since $v_2 \in R$, the equation $Av_3 = v_2 + \lambda v_3$ must have a solution for v_3 .

Remark 0.83 Note that we have

$$(A - \lambda I)^2 v = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (80)$$

That is:

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \xrightarrow{A-\lambda I} R \ (R \subset K) \xrightarrow{A-\lambda I} 0. \quad (81)$$

Let $P = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$. In this case the ODE for $\mathbf{y}(t)$ (we let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$) becomes the "**semi-decoupled system**":

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(t) = (P^{-1}AP)\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \quad (82)$$

and, similar to (73), the general solution to the ODE is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= (v_1, v_2, v_3)\mathbf{y}(t) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda t} \\ (c_2 + c_3 t) e^{\lambda t} \\ c_3 e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_1 e^{\lambda t} v_1 + \underbrace{(c_2 + c_3 t)} e^{\lambda t} v_2 + c_3 e^{\lambda t} v_3. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Note that in the above v_1 and v_2 are eigenvectors.

Example 0.84 Find the general solution of the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 & -2 \\ 8 & -5 & -4 \\ -4 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution:

The characteristic polynomial of the coefficient matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 & -2 \\ 8 & -5 - \lambda & -4 \\ -4 & 3 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (5 - \lambda)(-5 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 48 - 48 + 8(5 + \lambda) + 12(5 - \lambda) + 24(3 - \lambda) \\ &= (\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)(4 - \lambda) + 4 - 3\lambda = -(\lambda - 1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$. To find the eigenvector for $\lambda = 1$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} 5x - 3y - 2z = x \\ 8x - 5y - 4z = y \\ -4x + 3y + 3z = z \end{cases}$$

and obtain $4x - 3y - 2z = 0$. Thus one can find **two** linearly independent eigenvectors v_1, v_2 . The space $K = \ker(A - I)$ is given by the plane $4x - 3y - 2z = 0$.

The image of the matrix

$$A - I = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3 & -2 \\ 8 & -6 & -4 \\ -4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is a line R given by $\{t(1, 2, -1) : t \in (-\infty, \infty)\}$. We note that $R \subset K$.

According to the proof, we must choose two linearly independent vectors v_1, v_2 in K with $v_1 \notin R, v_2 \in R$. Thus we choose $v_1 = (3, 4, 0), v_2 = (1, 2, -1)$. Finally, we solve $Av_3 = v_2 + v_3$ to get

$$\begin{cases} 5x - 3y - 2z = 1 + x \\ 8x - 5y - 4z = 2 + y \\ -4x + 3y + 3z = -1 + z \end{cases}$$

and get $4x - 3y - 2z = 1$. So we choose $v_3 = (0, 1, -2)$. We see that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent.

The general solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^t \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{(c_2 + c_3 t)} e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 e^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (84)$$

□

Remark 0.85 (important) If we do **not** choose $v_2 \in R$, then the system $Av_3 = v_2 + v_3$ may **not** have a solution. For example, choose $v_2 = (3, 4, 0) \in K, v_2 \notin R$. Then we solve

$$\begin{cases} 5x - 3y - 2z = 3 + x \\ 8x - 5y - 4z = 4 + y \\ -4x + 3y + 3z = 0 + z \end{cases}$$

and see that there is no solution at all (see the first equation and the third equation).

Case 2: The eigenspace $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ has dimension 1.

Assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$ and $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 1$. This means that we can find **only one** independent eigenvector of λ .

In this case Lemma 0.81 becomes the following:

Lemma 0.86 Assume $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 1$. Then there exist three linearly independent vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 (where v_1 is **eigenvector**) such that

$$A(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (85)$$

where (v_1, v_2, v_3) is the 3×3 matrix with column vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 .

Proof. Consider the map $A - \lambda I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $R = \text{Im}(A - \lambda I), K = \ker(A - \lambda I), \dim R = 2, \dim K = 1$. We claim that $K \subset R$ (now K is a *line* and R is a *plane*). If not, then the operator $A - \lambda I : R \rightarrow R$ has zero kernel and thus *1-1*. By **Rank Theorem** again, it is also *onto*. Hence for any $v \in R$ there exists some $w \in R$ such that

$$(A - \lambda I)w = v,$$

which gives

$$Av = A(A - \lambda I)w = (A - \lambda I)(Aw) \quad (\text{note that } R \text{ is the image of } A - \lambda I : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3)$$

This says that $Av \in R$ also. Hence

$$A : R \rightarrow R, \quad \dim R = 2$$

is a linear map and on it we have two eigenvalues β_1, β_2 (regardless of what they are). Since we assume $K \not\subset R$, both eigenvalues of A on R must be different from λ , a contradiction. This contradiction implies that $K \subset R$.

Next we claim that $(A - \lambda I)R = K$. To see this, note that $(A - \lambda I)R$ is **one-dimensional** (it cannot be zero-dimensional since $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I) = 1$) due to $K \subset R$ and the *Rank Theorem* (applied to the map $A - \lambda I : R \rightarrow R$). If $(A - \lambda I)R \neq K$, there exists some nonzero vector $v \notin K$, $v \in R$, such that $(A - \lambda I)R = \{tv : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. But then we have

$$(A - \lambda I)v = tv \quad \text{for some } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

This will yield a new eigenvalue $\lambda + t$, impossible. Hence $(A - \lambda I)R = K$.

Now let $v_1 \in K$ be an **eigenvector** of A . By above there exists some nonzero vector $v_2 \in R$, $v_2 \notin K$, with (note that $(A - \lambda I)R = K$; see also Remark 0.88 below)

$$(A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1.$$

Since $v_2 \in R$, there exists some nonzero vector $v_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(A - \lambda I)v_3 = v_2 \quad (\text{note that now } (A - \lambda I)^2 v_3 = v_1).$$

We then claim that v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent. If not, then (we already know that v_1, v_2 are independent)

$$v_3 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 \quad \text{for some } \alpha, \beta.$$

Applying $A - \lambda I$ onto it to get

$$v_2 = (A - \lambda I)v_3 = (A - \lambda I)(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) = \beta(A - \lambda I)v_2 = \beta v_1,$$

a contradiction. Therefore we have (66) and the proof is done. □

Remark 0.87 *We have the picture for the above proof:*

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \xrightarrow{A - \lambda I} R (K \subset R) \xrightarrow{A - \lambda I} K \xrightarrow{A - \lambda I} 0. \quad (86)$$

Remark 0.88 (Important) *We claim that it is impossible to have $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $v_2 \notin R$, such that*

$$(A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1, \quad \text{where } v_1 \in K, \quad v_1 \neq 0.$$

To see this, assume possible (note that $v_2 \neq 0$). Then for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there exists some vector $\sigma \in R$ (note that $\dim R = 2$) such that

$$v = v_2 + \sigma, \quad v_2 \notin R, \quad \sigma \in R.$$

This implies

$$(A - \lambda I)v = (A - \lambda I)(v_2 + \sigma) \in K$$

due to $(A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1 \in K$ and the identity $(A - \lambda I)R = K$. The above implies $R = K$ (we know $\dim R = 2$, $\dim K = 1$), a contradiction. In view of this, if we solve the equation

$$(A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1, \quad \text{where } v_1 \in K, \quad v_1 \neq 0.$$

then automatically we have $v_2 \in R$. Then one can go directly to find $v_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$(A - \lambda I)v_3 = v_2 \in R.$$

Remark 0.89 (Summary.) In conclusion, we need to solve v_1 (eigenvector of λ , $v_1 \in K$), v_2 (**generalized eigenvector** of λ corresponding to v_1 , $v_2 \in R$, $v_2 \notin K$), v_3 (**generalized eigenvector** of λ corresponding to v_2 , $v_3 \notin R$) satisfying the system:

$$Av_1 = \lambda v_1, \quad Av_2 = v_1 + \lambda v_2, \quad Av_3 = v_2 + \lambda v_3. \quad (87)$$

In the third equation of (78), we need to use the fact

$$\begin{cases} K = \ker(A - \lambda I) \subset R = \text{Im}(A - \lambda I), & K \text{ is a line and } R \text{ is a plane} \\ (A - \lambda I)R = K \\ v_1 \in K, \quad v_2 \notin K, \quad v_2 \in R, \quad v_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

Since $v_2 \in R$, the equation $Av_3 = v_2 + \lambda v_3$ must have a solution for v_3 .

Remark 0.90 In summary, we have the following: Assume A is a 3×3 real matrix with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda$, then if $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ has three independent eigenvectors (this can happen only when $A = \lambda I$), then

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and if $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ has two independent eigenvectors, then

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and if $\ker(A - \lambda I)$ has only one independent eigenvector, then

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $P = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$. In this case the ODE for $\mathbf{y}(t)$ (we let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$) becomes the following "**semi-decoupled system**":

$$\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(t) = (P^{-1}AP)\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \quad (89)$$

and so

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = \lambda y_1 + y_2 \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = \lambda y_2 + y_3 \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} = \lambda y_3. \end{cases}$$

We get (solve $y_3(t)$ first, and then $y_2(t)$, and then $y_1(t)$)

$$y_1(t) = \left(c_1 + c_2t + \frac{c_3}{2}t^2\right) e^{\lambda t}, \quad y_2(t) = (c_2 + c_3t) e^{\lambda t}, \quad y_3(t) = c_3 e^{\lambda t}$$

and, similar to (83), the general solution to the ODE is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= (v_1, v_2, v_3) \mathbf{y}(t) = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \begin{pmatrix} (c_1 + c_2 t + \frac{c_3}{2} t^2) e^{\lambda t} \\ (c_2 + c_3 t) e^{\lambda t} \\ c_3 e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(c_1 + c_2 t + \frac{c_3}{2} t^2 \right) e^{\lambda t} v_1 + (c_2 + c_3 t) e^{\lambda t} v_2 + c_3 e^{\lambda t} v_3. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Note that in the above only v_1 is eigenvector.

Example 0.91 Find the general solution of the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution:

The characteristic polynomial of the coefficient matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 - \lambda & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^2 (4 - \lambda) + 4 + 3 + 3(1 - \lambda) + 2(1 - \lambda) - 2(4 - \lambda) \\ &= (\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)(4 - \lambda) + 4 - 3\lambda \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 8 = -(\lambda - 2)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 2$. To find the eigenvector for $\lambda = 2$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} x + y + z = 2x \\ 2x + y - z = 2y \\ -3x + 2y + 4z = 2z \end{cases}$$

and we obtain $x = 0$, $y + z = 0$. Thus we can find **only one** independent eigenvector $v_1 = (0, 1, -1)$. The image of the matrix

$$A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is the plane R given by $x - y - z = 0$ (or the plane spanned by the two vectors $(-1, 2, -3)$, $(1, -1, 2)$).

Then we solve $Av_2 = v_1 + 2v_2$ to get

$$\begin{cases} x + y + z = 2x \\ 2x + y - z = 1 + 2y \\ -3x + 2y + 4z = -1 + 2z \end{cases}$$

and obtain $x = 1$, $y + z = 1$. We can pick $v_2 = (1, 1, 0)$ (by Remark 0.88 we must have $v_2 \in R$, $v_2 \notin K$, or one can check that v_2 lies in the plane $x - y - z = 0$, or $v_2 = 2(-1, 2, -3) + 3(1, -1, 2)$). Finally, we solve $Av_3 = v_2 + 2v_3$ to get

$$\begin{cases} x + y + z = 1 + 2x \\ 2x + y - z = 1 + 2y \\ -3x + 2y + 4z = 2z \end{cases}$$

and obtain $x = 2$, $y + z = 3$. We can pick $v_3 = (2, 3, 0)$. Hence the general solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \left(c_1 + c_2 t + \frac{c_3}{2} t^2 \right) e^{2t} v_1 + (c_2 + c_3 t) e^{2t} v_2 + c_3 e^{2t} v_3 = \dots$$

□

0.5.4 $\lambda_1 = \lambda$, $\lambda_2 = \alpha + i\beta$, $\lambda_3 = \alpha - i\beta$.

Assume we have three eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha + i\beta$, $\alpha - i\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq 0$. There exists a basis $\{v, v_1, v_2\}$ satisfying (see (45)) (now the eigenvector of $\alpha + i\beta$ is $v_2 + iv_1$)

$$Av = \lambda v, \quad Av_1 = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2, \quad Av_2 = -\beta v_1 + \alpha v_2,$$

and so

$$AP = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & -\beta \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (v, v_1, v_2).$$

In this case the ODE for $\mathbf{y}(t)$ (we let $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y}$) becomes the following "**semi-decoupled system**":

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy_1}{dt} = \lambda y_1 \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = \alpha y_2 - \beta y_3 \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} = \beta y_2 + \alpha y_3 \end{cases} \quad (91)$$

and its general solution is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_1(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda t} \\ y_2(t) = e^{\alpha t} (c_2 \cos \beta t - c_3 \sin \beta t) \\ y_3(t) = e^{\alpha t} (c_2 \sin \beta t + c_3 \cos \beta t) \end{cases} \quad (92)$$

Hence the general solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ to the ODE $\mathbf{x}'(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= P\mathbf{y}(t) = (v, v_1, v_2) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 e^{\lambda t} \\ e^{\alpha t} (c_2 \cos \beta t - c_3 \sin \beta t) \\ e^{\alpha t} (c_2 \sin \beta t + c_3 \cos \beta t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_1 e^{\lambda t} v + c_2 e^{\alpha t} [(\cos \beta t) v_1 + (\sin \beta t) v_2] + c_3 e^{\alpha t} [-(\sin \beta t) v_1 + (\cos \beta t) v_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Example 0.92 Find the general solution of the system

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution:

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix is of the coefficient matrix are

$$\begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 - \lambda & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -(\lambda + 2)(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + 3).$$

Hence the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = -2$, $\lambda_2 = -1 + \sqrt{2}i$, $\lambda_3 = -1 - \sqrt{2}i$. To find the eigenvector for $\lambda = -2$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} -3x + 2z = -2x \\ x - y = -2y \\ -2x - y = -2z \end{cases}$$

and we obtain $x = 2z$, $y = -2z$. Thus $v = (2, -2, 1)$. To find the eigenvector for $\lambda = -1 + \sqrt{2}i$, we solve

$$\begin{cases} -3x + 2z = (-1 + \sqrt{2}i)x \\ x - y = (-1 + \sqrt{2}i)y \\ -2x - y = (-1 + \sqrt{2}i)z \end{cases}$$

and get complex eigenvector

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}i \\ 1 \\ -1 + \sqrt{2}i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we get $v_1 = (\sqrt{2}, 0, \sqrt{2})$ and $v_2 = (0, 1, -1)$. The general solution is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{-2t} v + c_2 e^{-t} \left[(\cos \sqrt{2}t) v_1 + (\sin \sqrt{2}t) v_2 \right] + c_3 e^{-t} \left[-(\sin \sqrt{2}t) v_1 + (\cos \sqrt{2}t) v_2 \right].$$

□